EDITORIAL

Sex Transm Infect 2004:80:80-81

Authors' affiliations

R Miller, Department of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Windeyer Institute of Medical Science, Royal Free and University College Medical School, Mortimer Market Centre, London WC1E 6AU

H Ward, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College School of Medicine, St Mary's Campus, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG

Correspondence to: Dr R Miller: rmiller@gum.ucl.ac.uk

REFERENCES

- Ward H, Miller R. Taking up the reins. Sex Trans Inf 2003;79:2.
- 2 Thomas A, Forster G, Robinson A, et al. National guideline for the management of suspected sexually transmitted infections in children and young people. Sex Trans Inf 2002;**78**:324-31
- 3 Lewis D. Chancroid: clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and management. Sex Trans Inf 2003;79:68-71
- 4 Ross JDC. An update on pelvic inflammatory disease. Sex Trans Inf 2002;78:18-9.
- 5 Lacey CJN, Goodall RL, Ragnarson Tennvall G, et al. Randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of podophyllotoxin solution podophyllotoxin cream, and podophyllin in the treatment of genital warts. Sex Trans Inf 2003;**79**:270–75.

- 6 **Honey E**, Augood C, Templeton A, *et al.* Cost effectiveness of screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a review of published studies. *Sex* Trans Inf 2002;**78**:406–12.
- Mehta SD, Erbelding EJ, Zenilman JM, et al. Gonorrhoea reinfection in heterosexual STD clinic attendees: longitudinal analysis of risks for first reinfection. Sex Trans Inf 2003;79:124-28.
- 8 Catchpole M, Robinson A, Temple A. Chlamydia screening in the United Kingdom. Sex Trans Inf 2003:79:3-4.
- 9 Vickerman P, Watts C, Alary M, et al. Sensitivity requirements for the point of care diagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorr hoeae in women. Sex Trans Inf 2003;79:363-67.
- 10 Chernesky MA. Chlamydia trachomatis diagnostics. Sex Trans Inf 2002;78:232–34.
- 11 Bailey JV, Farquhar C, Owen C, et al. Sexual behaviour of lesbians and bisexual women. Sex Trans Inf 2003;79:147-50.

ECHO.....

Facilitation of HIV transmission in the foreskin



Please visit the Sexually **Transmitted** Infections website [www stijournal.com] for a link to the full text of this article.

study of nine normal human foreskin specimens may explain at a molecular level why circumcised men are less frequently infected with HIV than those who are not

The C-type lectin DC-SIGN (dendritic cell specific intercellular cell adhesion molecule grabbing non-integrin) binds human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) avidly, and has been shown to facilitate HIV infection of permissive cells both in trans and in cis. This study shows that DC-SIGN may also contribute to HIV transmission in the foreskin.

Cells such as maternal and alveolar macrophages and fetal Hofbauer cells at the placental interface, facilitate HIV infection where DC-SIGN and the HIV entry receptors CD4 and CCR5 are coexpressed. This study of dendritic cells and macrophages in foreskin specimens showed that all the DC-SIGN+ cells expressed both CD4 and CCR5 suggesting that DC-SIGN may potentiate HIV infection of these cells in cis. Most CD4+DC-SIGN – cells also expressed CCR5 and could therefore be infected in trans by the DC-SIGN+ cells in close proximity.

This study only provides circumstantial evidence about the role of the foreskin in the sexual transmission of HIV due to a lack of suitable available specimens. Further studies are now needed correlating levels of DC-SIGN expression in the penis with HIV transmission rates in circumcised and uncircumcised men.

▲ Journal of Clinical Pathology 2004;57:77-78